

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 2, 2003

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2003–04 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1521

Introduced by Assembly Member Parra

February 21, 2003

An act to *amend Section 9592 of, and to repeal Sections 10004, 10005, 10006, 10007, 10008, 10009, and 10031 of, the Food and Agricultural Code, relating to ~~bovine tuberculosis~~ diseased animals.*

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1521, as amended, Parra. ~~Bovine tuberculosis—Diseased animals.~~

Existing law authorizes the Secretary of the Department of Food and Agriculture to establish regulations in connection with a quarantine of domesticated animals. Existing law authorizes the state to contribute toward the payment of animals ordered destroyed if, among other things, the United States agrees to share equally in the payment.

This bill would instead only require the United States to share in the payment.

Existing law provides that the Department of Food and Agriculture may establish and maintain bovine tuberculosis control areas at which time it shall issue a proclamation which shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in that area, as specified. Existing law requires all owners of cattle within a tuberculosis control area which are subject to examination and tuberculin testing shall, upon request, provide necessary facilities for making tuberculin tests, and render assistance as may be required.

This bill would repeal provisions concerning the operation of bovine tuberculosis control areas, specifically, provisions respecting frequency of testing of animals to determine which are affected with tuberculosis, the threshold infection reduction rate at which testing becomes compulsory, and the circumstances under which cattle can be moved into or out of the control area. This bill would also repeal provisions permitting the secretary of the department to withdraw counties from a tuberculosis control area if sufficient funds to supervise and manage the area are unavailable.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. *Section 9592 of the Food and Agricultural Code*
2 *is amended to read:*
3 9592. The state may contribute toward the payment for the
4 animal or property destroyed if either of the following occurs:
5 (a) The United States agrees to share ~~equally~~ in the payment.
6 (b) The State Veterinarian finds that the failure to dispose of the
7 animal, animal product, or property would be or would have been
8 detrimental to human or animal health or the welfare of that animal
9 industry.
10 SEC. 2. Section 10004 of the Food and Agricultural Code is
11 repealed.
12 ~~SEC. 2.~~
13 SEC. 3. Section 10005 of the Food and Agricultural Code is
14 repealed.
15 ~~SEC. 3.~~
16 SEC. 4. Section 10006 of the Food and Agricultural Code is
17 repealed.
18 ~~SEC. 4.~~
19 SEC. 5. Section 10007 of the Food and Agricultural Code is
20 repealed.
21 ~~SEC. 5.~~
22 SEC. 6. Section 10008 of the Food and Agricultural Code is
23 repealed.
24 ~~SEC. 6.~~
25 SEC. 7. Section 10009 of the Food and Agricultural Code is
26 repealed.



1 ~~SEC. 7.~~
2 *SEC. 8.* Section 10031 of the Food and Agricultural Code is
3 repealed.

O

